| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|-------------------------------|---------------------------|
| | 09/670,877 | ICHIKAWA ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Rudy Zervigon | 1792 |
| All Participants: Status of Application: | | |
| (1) <u>Rudy Zervigon</u> . | (3) | · |
| (2) Richard Catellano. | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 5 December 2007 | Time: <u>11:10</u> | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: All pending | | · |
| Claims discussed: All pending | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: None | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The Examiner called Mr. Castellano to inquire on the disposition of the case. Mr. Castellano confirmed Applicant's desire to abandon processings in favor of a continuing application | | |
| Part III. | | |
| ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/ | Applicant's Representative Si | gnature – if appropriate) |